arXiv:1212.5616v2 [math.FA] 31 Dec 2012

(m, p)-isometric and (m, ∞)-isometric operator tuples on normed spaces

Philipp H. W. Hoffmann* and Michael Mackey

Abstract

We generalize the definition of m-isometric operator tuples on Hilbert spaces in a natural way to normed spaces. This is done by defining a tuple analogue of (m, p)-isometric operators, so-called (m, p)-isometric operator tuples. We then extend this definition further by introducing (m, ∞)-isometric operator tuples and study properties of and relations between these objects.

Keywords: Normed space, Banach space, operator, operator tuple, m-isometry, (m, p)-isometry, (m, ∞)-isometry, generalised isomety.

1 Introduction

So called *m-isometries* on a Hilbert space H over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ are bounded linear operators $T \in B(H)$, which satisfy the following property: There exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^{k} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

(We exclude the case $m = 0$ since it is trivial.)
Originating in works of Richter [19] (the Dirichlet shift being the standard example of a 2-isometry) and Agler [2] in the 1980s, operators of this kind have been studied extensively by Agler and Stankus in three papers [3, 4, 5] and since then attracted the interest of many other authors (see for example [8], [9] and [12]).
In recent years, two generalisations of the definition of m-isometries have been given. Gleason and Richter in [13] extend the notion of m-isometric operators to the case of commuting d-tuples of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. The defining equation for an *m-isometry* (or *m-isometric tuple*) $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(H)^d$ reads:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} T^{\alpha *} T^{\alpha} = 0.$$

---

[*] The research of the first author has been funded partly by a Research Demonstratorship and partly by a bursary both of the School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin.

Here, m is again a positive integer, α is a multi-index, $|\alpha|$ the sum of its entries and $\frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} = \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_d!} = \binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha})$ a multinomial coefficient.
On the other hand, the notion of m-isometric operators on Hilbert spaces has been generalized to operators on general Banach spaces in papers of Botelho [10], Sid Ahmed [22] and Bayart [6]. An operator $T \in B(X)$ on a Banach space X over $\mathbb{K}$ is called an (m,p)-*isometry* if there exist an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$ and a $p \in [1,\infty)$, with

$$\forall x \in X, \ \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^p = 0.$$

It is easy to see that, if $X = H$ is a complex Hilbert space and $p = 2$, this definition coincides with the original definition (1.1) of m-isometries. In [15] the relationship and intersection class between (m,p)- and (μ,q)-isometries is studied.
In this paper, we combine both generalisations and consider so-called (m,p)-isometric operator tuples on normed spaces, which will be defined in a natural way. (In principle, this has already been described by Gleason and Richter in [13] for tuples on Hilbert spaces.)
An extension of the definition of (m,p)-isometric operators was given in [15] to include the case $p=\infty$: An operator $T \in B(X)$ is called an (m,∞)-*isometry* if there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, such that

$$\forall x \in X, \ \max_{\substack{k=0,\ldots,m \\ k \text{ even}}} \|T^k x\| = \max_{\substack{k=0,\ldots,m \\ k \text{ odd}}} \|T^k x\|.$$

We will generalize this definition to the commuting tuple case in an obvious way and give a conjecture on the intersection class of (m,p)-isometric and (m,∞)-isometric tuples in the last part of this paper.

In the following X will denote a normed (not necessarily complete) vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ (unless stated otherwise, for example in section 6) and our natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ will include 0.
For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, with $d \geq 1$, let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be a tuple of commuting bounded linear operators on X. (As one will see, boundedness is actually not essential for the definition and the basic properties of the objects we are about to discuss, but plays a role in the later theory.) Greek letters like $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ will denote tuples of natural numbers (multi-indices) or their entries, respectively. The norm or 'length' of α, will be defined by $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j$ and we define further $T^\alpha = T_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots T_d^{\alpha_d}$.
To denote the tuple which we obtain after removing T_j from $T = (T_1, ..., T_d)$, we will write T'_j (that is, $T'_j = (T_1, ..., T_{j-1}, T_{j+1}, ..., T_d)$). We use the notation α'_j analogously. Finally, (again if not stated otherwise) we take the exponent p to be a positive real number, $p \in (0,\infty)$.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

For $T \in B(X)^d$ commuting and $p \in (0,\infty)$ as above, define the sequence $(Q^{n,p}(T,x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by $Q^{n,p}(T,x) := \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p$.

Definition 2.1. For $T \in B(X)^d$, $p \in (0,\infty)$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the functions $P_m^{(p)}(T,\cdot) : X \to \mathbb{R}$, by

$$P_m^{(p)}(T,x) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} Q^{k,p}(T,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p.$$

The commuting tuple T is called an (m,p)*-isometriy* (or (m,p)*-isometric tuple*), if there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$, such that $P_m^{(p)}(T,x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$.

If the context is clear, we will simply write $P_m(x)$ and $Q^n(x)$ instead of $P_m^{(p)}(T,x)$ and $Q^{n,p}(T,x)$. This definition coincides with the definition of m-isometric tuples by Gleason and Richter if X is a complex Hilbert space (and $p = 2$) and has, in that context as an equivalent description, already been presented in [13, Lemma 2.1].

Consequently, as one would expect, the basic theory of (m,p)-isometric tuples can be evolved in a similar fashion as in [13]. However, we will use a different approach, based on an idea described in [15].

Let, as in [15, Notation 3.1], $\mathfrak{F}$ denote the set of real functions whose domain is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ which is invariant under the mapping $s : t \to t+1$. Further, define $D : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{F}$ by $Dg := g - (g \circ s)$ for each $g \in \mathfrak{F}$ (that is, $-D$ is the difference operator with difference interval 1). Then

$$D^m g = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} (g \circ s^k)$$

for all $g \in \mathfrak{F}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the set of all real sequences $\mathfrak{A}$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{F}$ and that

$$D^m a = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} a_{n+k} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \quad \forall\ a = (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{A} \ \text{ and } \ \forall\ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometric tuple, if and only if,

$$\left(D^m (Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0 = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Now [15, Proposition 3.2.(ii)] states the following[1]:

Proposition. *Let* $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$. *We have* $D^m a = 0$ *if, and only if, there exists a unique polynomial function* f *with* $\deg f \leq m-1$ *such that* $f|_{\mathbb{N}} = a$.

We would like to apply this fact to the sequences $(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, to conclude that for each $x \in X$, there exist a polynomial f_x, which interpolates $(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Unfortunately, unlike in the situation of (m,p)-isometric operators (see [15, Remark 3.6]), we can not immediately state that T being an (m,p)-isometric tuple requires the whole sequence $D^m(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be the zero-sequence. This needs some little extra work.

---

[1] This proposition is actually a special case of a more general and well-known fact about functions defined on the natural numbers, which can, for example, be found in [1, Satz 3.1].

Lemma 2.2.

$$Q^{\ell+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} Q^{\ell}(T_j x), \quad \forall x \in X,\ \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{\ell+1}(x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell+1} \frac{(\ell+1)!}{\alpha!}\|T^{\alpha}x\|^p = \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell+1} \frac{\ell!(\alpha_1+...+\alpha_d)}{\alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_d!}\|T_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots T_d^{\alpha_d}x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1\\ \alpha_j\geq 1}} \frac{\ell!\cdot\alpha_j}{\alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_d!}\|T_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots T_d^{\alpha_d}x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1\\ \alpha_j\geq 1}} \frac{\ell!\|T_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots T_{j-1}^{\alpha_{j-1}}T_j^{\alpha_j-1}T_{j+1}^{\alpha_{j+1}}\cdots T_d^{\alpha_d}T_jx\|^p}{\alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_{j-1}!(\alpha_j-1)!\alpha_{j+1}!\cdots\alpha_d!} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d}\sum_{|\beta|=\ell}\frac{\ell!}{\beta!}\|T^{\beta}T_jx\|^p = \sum_{j=1}^{d} Q^{\ell}(T_jx), \quad \forall x\in X,\ \forall \ell\in\mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3.

$$\left((D^{\ell}(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_{\nu+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(D^{\ell}(Q^n(T_jx))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_{\nu}, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$*, for all* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D^{\ell}(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_{\nu+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}(-1)^k\binom{\ell}{k}Q^{\nu+1+k}(x) \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma 2.2}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}(-1)^k\binom{\ell}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{d}Q^{\nu+k}(T_jx) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(D^{\ell}(Q^n(T_jx))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_{\nu}, \quad \forall x\in X,\ \forall\ell\in\mathbb{N},\ \forall\nu\in\mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, $(D^m(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})_0 = 0$, for all $x \in X$, implies $(D^m(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})_{\nu} = 0$, for all $x \in X$, for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words:

Proposition 2.4. *$T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometry if, and only if, $D^m(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = 0$, for all $x \in X$.*

Before we move on, we state the following lemma, which is certainly well known, but may be of general interest.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $D:\mathfrak{F}\to\mathfrak{F}$ *be defined as above and* $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=:a\in\mathfrak{A}$. *Then*

$$\left(D^\ell a\right)_{n+1}-\left(D^\ell a\right)_n=-\left(D^{\ell+1}a\right)_n,\quad \forall \ell,n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. By definition

$$D^{\ell+1}a=D(D^\ell a)=D^\ell a-(D^\ell a\circ s),\quad \forall \ell\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Hence,

$$\left(D^{\ell+1}a\right)_n=\left(D^\ell a\right)_n-\left(D^\ell a\right)_{n+1},\quad \forall \ell,n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

□

3 Basic Properties of (m,p)-isometric tuples

Our preliminary considerations allow us now to derive the basic properties of (m,p)-isometric tuples, which are analogous to those given by Gleason and Richter in [13] in the Hilbert space case.
Expressing Lemma 2.5 in terms of $P_\ell(x)$ for $n=0$ reads:

Proposition 3.1.

$$P_{\ell+1}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}P_\ell(T_jx)-P_\ell(x),\quad \forall x\in X,\ \forall \ell\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.5 gives for $n=0$ and $a=(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, for all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(D^\ell(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_1-\left(D^\ell(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0=-\left(D^{\ell+1}(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0,\quad \forall x\in X,$$

$$\overset{\text{Corollary 2.3}}{\Leftrightarrow}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}D^\ell(Q^n(T_jx))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})_0-\left(D^\ell(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0=-\left(D^{\ell+1}(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0,\quad \forall x\in X. \tag{3.1}$$

By definition $\left(D^\ell(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)_0=(-1)^\ell P_\ell(x)$, for all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, for all $x\in X$. Therefore, (3.1) reads

$$(-1)^\ell\sum_{j=1}^{d}P_\ell(T_jx)-(-1)^\ell P_\ell(x)=(-1)^\ell P_{\ell+1}(x),\quad \forall x\in X,\ \forall \ell\in\mathbb{N}.$$

□

Proposition 2.4, as well as Proposition 3.1, implies:

Corollary 3.2. *An* (m,p)*-isometry* $T\in B(X)^d$ *is an* $(m+1,p)$*-isometry.*

Further, Proposition 2.4 enables us to apply [15, Proposition 3.2.(ii)] to the sequence $(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 3.3. $T\in B(X)^d$ *is an* (m,p)*-isometry if, and only if, there exists a family of unique polynomials* $f_x:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, $x\in X$, *of degree less or equal to* $m-1$ *with* $f_x|_{\mathbb{N}}=(Q^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

For $k,n\in\mathbb{N}$ denote the *(descending) Pochhammer symbol* by $n^{(k)}$, i.e.

$$n^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad n=0, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad n>0,\ k>n, \\ \binom{n}{k}k!, & \text{if} \quad n>0,\ k\leq n. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $T\in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometry. Then*

(i) $Q^n(x)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{m-1} n^{(k)}\big(\frac{1}{k!}P_k(x)\big)$*, for all $x\in X$, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.*

(ii) $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}}=\frac{1}{(m-1)!}P_{m-1}(x)\geq 0$*, for all $x\in X$.*

Proof. (ii) follows immediately from (i).
For every $x\in X$, the polynomial f_x interpolates the points $(n,Q^n(x))$. Determining the Newton form of f_x gives (i). ☐

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric tuple. Then we have*

(i) $\big(\|T_j^n x\|\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{O}(n^{m-1})$ *for all $j\in\{1,...,d\}$, for all $x\in X$.*

(ii) $T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta x\to 0$ *(and therefore $T_j^n(T_j')^\beta x\to 0$) for $n\to\infty$, for all $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta|\geq m$, for all $j\in\{1,...,d\}$, for all $x\in X$.*

(By the Uniform Boundedness Principle, this boundedness and convergence are uniform if X is a Banach space.)

Proof. This follows from the fact that the summands $\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\|T_j^n x\|^p$ and $\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)!\beta!}\|T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta x\|^p\right)$ of $\frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}}=\frac{1}{n^{m-1}}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=n}\frac{n!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p\right)$ have to be bounded with respect to $n\geq|\beta|$, for all $x\in X$. ☐

Proposition 3.6. *Let $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometry. Then $\ker P_{m-1}$ is invariant for each T_j and the tuple*

$$T|_{\ker P_{m-1}} := (T_1|_{\ker P_{m-1}},...,T_d|_{\ker P_{m-1}})$$

is an $(m-1,p)$-isometry.[2] *Further, if $M\subset X$ is invariant for each T_j and $T|_M$ is an $(m-1,p)$-isometry, then $M\subset\ker P_{m-1}$.*

Proof. If T is an (m,p)-isometry, $P_m\equiv 0$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, $P_{m-1}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^d P_{m-1}(T_jx)$, for all $x\in X$. Let $x_0\in\ker P_{m-1}$. Since $P_{m-1}\geq 0$ by Proposition 3.4.(ii), $T_jx_0\in\ker P_{m-1}$ for all $j=1,...,d$ follows. The remaining statements follow directly from the definition of $P_{m-1}^{(p)}(T|_{\ker P_{m-1}},\cdot)$, $P_{m-1}^{(p)}(T,\cdot)$ and $P_{m-1}^{(p)}(T|_M,\cdot)$ respectively. ☐

An (m,p)-isometric operator is by [6, Proof of Theorem 3.3] an isometry on the quotient space $X/\ker\beta_{m-1}(T,\cdot)$ equipped with the norm $(\beta_{m-1}(T,\cdot))^{1/p}$. Here, for each $x\in X$, $\beta_{m-1}^{(p)}(T,x)=\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-1-j}\binom{m-1}{j}\|T^jx\|^p$ is the leading coefficient of the polynomial which interpolates the sequence $(\|T^nx\|^p)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

---

[2] Note that by Proposition 3.4.(ii), $\ker P_{m-1}$ is indeed a subspace of X.

Indeed a similar result holds for (m,p)-isometric tuples.

We will call a commuting operator tuple $T=(T_1,...,T_d)$ on a normed space X an *ℓ_p-spherical isometry* if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|T_jx\|^p=\|x\|^p,\quad \forall x\in X.$$

In the literature, ℓ_2-spherical isometries on (usually complex) Hilbert spaces are referred to as just *spherical isometries.* Obviously ℓ_p-spherical isometries are just $(1,p)$-isometric tuples.
The following has already been stated in [20] for $(m,2)$-isometries on complex Hilbert spaces.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometry. Then $|.|_p:=(P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,\cdot))^{1/p}$ is a semi-norm on X with $|.|_p\le C\|.\|$ for some constant $C>0$. Further, T is an ℓ_p-spherical isometry on the quotient space $X/\ker P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,\cdot)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4.(ii), $|.|_p=(P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,\cdot))^{1/p}$ is a semi-norm on X, hence a norm on $X/(\ker P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,\cdot))^{1/p}$. That $|.|_p\le C\|.\|$ for some constant $C>0$ follows directly from the definition of $P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,\cdot)$ and the boundedness of T. Further, by Proposition 3.1, $\sum_{j=1}^{d}|T_jx|_p^p=|x|_p^p$, for all $x\in X$. $\square$

4 Examples of (m,p)-isometric tuples

Example 4.1. Let X be an arbitrary normed space and I the identity operator. The pair $(\frac{1}{2}I,\frac{1}{2}I)\in B(X)^2$ is a $(1,1)$-isometric tuple on X.

Example 4.2. Let $T_1=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}\end{pmatrix}$ and $T_2=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\sqrt[3]{7}}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt[3]{26}}{3}\end{pmatrix}$. Then the pair $T=(T_1,T_2)$ is a $(1,3)$-isometric tuple on $(\mathbb{K}^2,\|.\|_3)$.

In [20] Richter states (without proof) the following sufficient condition for $(2,2)$-isometric tuples on finite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces:

Proposition (Richter)**.** *Let $z=(z_1,...,z_d)\in\mathbb{C}^d$ with $\|z\|_2=1$ and consider linear $V_i:\mathbb{C}^m\to\mathbb{C}^n$, $i\in\{1,...,d\}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\overline{z_i}V_i=0$. Then the operator tuple $S=(S_1,...,S_d)\in B(\mathbb{C}^{n+m})^d$, with*

$$S_i=\begin{pmatrix} z_iI_n & V_i\\ 0_m & z_iI_m\end{pmatrix},$$

is a $(2,2)$-isometric tuple.

This result leads to our next example.

Example 4.3. Let $T_1=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{pmatrix}$ and $T_2=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -1\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{pmatrix}$. Then the pair $T=(T_1,T_2)$ is a $(2,2)$-isometry on $(\mathbb{K}^2,\|.\|_2)$.

Further examples for (m,p)-isometric tuples can be easily created on the basis of (m,p)-isometric operators. This principle was used in [13, Example 3.3, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2], however, since it is not stated explicitly there, we include it here.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $S \in B(X)$ be an (m,p)-isometric operator, $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $z = (z_1, ..., z_d) \in (\mathbb{K}^d, \|.\|_p)$, with $\|z\|_p = 1$. Then the tuple $T := (z_1 S, ..., z_d S) \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometry.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|z^\alpha S^{|\alpha|} x\|^p = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} |z^\alpha|^p \|S^n x\|^p \\ &= \|z\|_p^{np} \|S^n x\|^p = \|S^n x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

by the multinomial theorem. Since S is an (m,p)-isometric operator, $D^m\left(Q_n(x)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = D^m\left(\|S^n x\|^p\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = 0.$ □

(Of course, Example 4.1 is also of this kind.)

Example 4.5. Let $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $T_p \in B(\ell_p)$ be the weighted right-shift operator with some weight sequence $(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$|w_n| = \left(\frac{n+\zeta}{n+\zeta-1}\right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,\ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $\zeta \in (0,\infty)$. Then T_p is a $(2,p)$-isometric operator. (This example is a simple generalisation of examples given in [10] and [22].)
Therefore, for example, the triple $T = (\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{4}} T_p, \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{4}} T_p, \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}} T_p)$ is a $(2,p)$-isometric tuple on ℓ_p.

Another example (and indeed the standard example) for (m,p)-isometric operators is the Dirichlet-shift (see [19]) and further examples can, for instance, be found in [9] or [22].

Proposition 4.6. *Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be a tuple of commuting operators and let T_{j_0} be an isometry for some $j_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$. Then T is an (m,p)-isometry for some $p \in (0,\infty)$ if, and only if, $\left(T'_{j_0}\right)^\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta| = m$. In this case, T is an (m,q)-isometry for any $q \in (0,\infty)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $j_0 = 1$. The necessity of $(T'_1)^\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta| = m$ if T is an (m,p)-isometric tuple follows from Corollary 3.5.(ii). However, to show equivalence, we proceed by a combinatorial approach.
We have

$$\sum_{k=\ell}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} = \delta_{\ell,m}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\delta_{\ell,m}$ is the Kronecker-delta. To see this, note that $\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{\ell} = \frac{m!}{(m-\ell)!}\binom{m-\ell}{k-\ell}$, so (4.1) becomes

$$\frac{m!}{(m-\ell)!} \sum_{k=\ell}^{m} (-1)^{m-\ell-(k-\ell)} \binom{m-\ell}{k-\ell} = \frac{m!}{(m-\ell)!} 0^{m-\ell} = \delta_{\ell,m}.$$

(If we set $0^0 = 1$.)
Now, note further that for all $b_{k,\ell} \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} b_{k,\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\sum_{k=\ell}^{m} b_{k,\ell}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(This can be easily seen by writing one side out and reordering the summands.) Consequently, by combining this with (4.1), we get for any sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-k}\binom{m}{k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell}a_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\sum_{k=\ell}^{m}(-1)^{m-k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{\ell}a_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} a_\ell \delta_{\ell,m} = a_m. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume now that T_1 is an isometry and denote $\alpha_1' := (\alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{k,p}(T,x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1'|=\ell \\ \alpha_1=k-\ell}} \frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \sum_{|\alpha_1'|=\ell} \frac{k!}{(k-\ell)!(\alpha_1')!}\|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_m^{(p)}(T,x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-k}\binom{m}{k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\sum_{|\alpha_1'|=\ell}\frac{k!\|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p}{(k-\ell)!(\alpha_1')!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-k}\binom{m}{k}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell}\sum_{|\alpha_1'|=\ell}\frac{\ell!\|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p}{(\alpha_1')!}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Then by considering (4.2) for the sequence

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha_1'|=n}\frac{n!}{(\alpha_1')!}\|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

it follows that, for all $x \in X$,

$$P_m^{(p)}(T,x) = \sum_{|\alpha_1'|=m}\frac{m!}{(\alpha_1')!}\|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \|(T_1')^{\alpha_1'}x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall \alpha_1' \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha_1'| = m, \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x \in X$, which is the desired equivalence.
Equations (4.3) and (4.4) also show that T is in this case an (m,q)-isometric tuple for any $q \in (0,\infty)$. ☐

Example 4.7. Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the pair $T = (T_1, T_2)$ is a $(2,p)$-isometric tuple for every $p \in (0,\infty)$ on $(\mathbb{K}^3, \|.\|_q)$ for any $q \in [1,\infty]$.[3]

5 (m,∞)-isometric tuples

Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric tuple. This is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \text{ even}}}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \text{ odd}}}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Assuming now that T satisfies this for all $p \in (b,\infty)$ for some $b \geq 0$ and taking the limit for p going to infinity, leads to the following definition.

Definition 5.1. A tuple $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ of commuting operators is called an (m,∞)*-isometry* (or (m,∞)*-isometric tuple*) if, and only if, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, such that

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(This definition extends the one appearing in [15] for operators.)

Example 5.2. Every (m,p)-isometry constructed using Proposition 4.6 is an (m,∞)-isometry by definition.

Analogous to Proposition 4.4, one can construct further examples on the basis of (m,∞)-isometric operators.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $S \in B(X)$ be an (m,∞)-isometric operator and $z = (z_1, ..., z_d) \in \mathbb{K}^d$, with $\|z\|_\infty = 1$. Then the tuple $(z_1 S, ..., z_d S) \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometry.*

Proof. Note that,

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} |z^\alpha| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|z\|_\infty^{|\alpha|} = 1 = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|z\|_\infty^{|\alpha|} = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} |z^\alpha|.$$

Hence, for $T_j = z_j S$, $j = 1, ..., d$,

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} |z^\alpha| \|S^{|\alpha|} x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} |z^\alpha| \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|S^{|\alpha|} x\|$$

$$= \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} |z^\alpha| \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|S^{|\alpha|} x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,...,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

□

---

[3] Indeed, if one excludes the Hilbert space case $q = 2$, every isometry on $\mathbb{K}^n$ with respect to the q-norm for some q, will also be an isometry with respect to any other q. The reason for this is that the isometric matrices on $(\mathbb{K}^n, \|.\|_q)$ for $q \neq 2$, $q \in [1,\infty]$ are exactly the (generalized) permutation matrices. (A proof in the real case can for example be found in [16].)

Example 5.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, $p \in [1,\infty]$ and $T_p \in B(\ell_p)$ be a weighted right-shift operator with a weight sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|\lambda_n| \geq 1, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, ..., m-1, \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda_n| = 1, \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq m.$$

By [15, Example 5.11], T_p is an (m,∞)-isometric operator. Then, for example, the tuple $(T_p, \frac{1}{2}T_p, \frac{1}{3}T_p, ..., \frac{1}{d}T_p)$ is an (m,∞)-isometric tuple on ℓ_p.

Since the definition of (m,∞)-isometric tuples differs from the definition of (m,∞)-isometric operators basically by the replacement of the index k by a multi-index α, it is not surprising that its basic theory can be developed analogously.
First of all, we have the following (compare [15, page 399])).

Proposition 5.5. *A commuting operator tuple $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometry if, and only if, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, with*

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,..,\ell+m \\ |\alpha| \textit{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,..,\ell+m \\ |\alpha| \textit{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in X. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. The sufficiency of (5.1) is clear. So assume now that $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometry and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We only prove the case where ℓ is even, since the case that ℓ is odd is a direct analogue. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,..,\ell+m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| &= \max_{\substack{|\beta|=0,..,m \\ |\gamma|=\ell \\ |\beta|+|\gamma| \text{ even}}} \|T^\beta T^\gamma x\| = \max_{|\gamma|=\ell} \max_{\substack{|\beta|=0,..,m \\ |\beta| \text{ even}}} \|T^\beta T^\gamma x\| \\
&= \max_{|\gamma|=\ell} \max_{\substack{|\beta|=0,..,m \\ |\beta| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\beta T^\gamma x\| = \max_{\substack{|\beta|=0,..,m \\ |\gamma|=\ell \\ |\beta|+|\gamma| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\beta T^\gamma x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,..,\ell+m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Further, using the following lemma we receive an equivalent description of (m,∞)-isometric tuples (compare [15, Lemma 5.3]).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\pi(n) = n \bmod 2$ denote the parity of an $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any family $a = (a_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, the following are equivalent.*

(i) a satisfies $\max\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,...,m+\ell \\ |\alpha| \textit{ even}}} a_\alpha = \max\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,...,m+\ell \\ |\alpha| \textit{ odd}}} a_\alpha, \;\; \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$

(ii) a attains a maximum and $\max\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max\limits_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,...,m-1+\ell \\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1+\ell)}} a_\alpha, \;\; \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$

Proof. (i)⇒(ii): We proceed by induction on ℓ. Suppose $a = (a_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (i) and choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$. By (i), $\max_{|\alpha|=n-m,...,n} a_\alpha$ is attained for at least two multi-indices, one of even and one of odd norm. Thus, there exists an $r < n$ and a $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\beta| = r$, such that $a_\beta \geq a_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| = n$. Since this holds for all $n \geq m$, we deduce that the family $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ indeed has a maximum, which is attained at an α with $|\alpha| \leq m-1$.

That is, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} a_\alpha$. Since trivially $\max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} a_\alpha \le \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m} a_\alpha$, we actually have equality and by (i) can write

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1)}} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1)}} a_\alpha.$$

Hence, we have (ii) for $\ell_0 = 0$.
Now assume that (ii) holds for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, in particular, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m-1+\ell} a_\alpha$ and since $\max_{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m-1+\ell} a_\alpha \le \max_{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell} a_\alpha$, we again have equality. By (i), we can omit the first ℓ on the right-hand side, obtaining $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell} a_\alpha$. But this has to be equal to $\max_{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell+1} a_\alpha$ and again by (i), we can write

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell+1\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}} a_\alpha.$$

This is (ii) for $\ell + 1$.

(ii)⇒(i): If a satisfies (ii), then, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{|\alpha|\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m-1+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1+\ell)}} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1+\ell)}} a_\alpha$$

and also

$$\max_{|\alpha|\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}} a_\alpha \le \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}} a_\alpha \le \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_\alpha.$$

This implies $\displaystyle\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1+\ell)}} a_\alpha = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}} a_\alpha$, which is (i). □

Corollary 5.7. *A tuple of commuting operators $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometry if, and only if, for each $x \in X$ the family $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ attains a maximum and there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge 1$, such that*

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m-1+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m-1+\ell)}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Corollary 5.8. *Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,∞)-isometry. Then $(\|T^\alpha\|)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is bounded. In particular, T is* uniformly power bounded*, that is, there exists a common $C > 0$, such that $\|T_j^n\| \le C$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$.*

Note that we do not have to make use of the Uniform Boundedness Principle here. (In fact, we are not assuming that X is complete.)
By simply copying the proof in the single operator case (see [15, Proposition 6.3]), we show the following:

Proposition 5.9. *Let $T \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,∞)-isometric tuple. Then T is an $(m+1,\infty)$-isometric tuple.*

Proof. By 5.7, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|$ exists and, for all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x\in X$, we have

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell+1,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}}\|T^\alpha x\| \le \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=\ell,\dots,m+\ell\\ \pi(|\alpha|)=\pi(m+\ell)}}\|T^\alpha x\| \le \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|$$

and the ensuing equality gives the result by invoking 5.7 again. ☐

The case $m=1$ deserves some special attention.
We call a commuting operator tuple $T=(T_1,\dots,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ an *ℓ_∞-spherical isometry* if

$$\max_{j=1,\dots,d}\|T_j x\| = \|x\|, \quad \forall x\in X.$$

Obviously ℓ_∞-spherical isometries are just $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuples.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $T\in B(X)^d$ be an ℓ_∞-spherical isometry (i.e., a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple). For each $x\in X$ there exists a $j_x\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ such that $\|T_{j_x}^n x\| = \|x\|$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.*[4]

Proof. We first show the following:
Claim. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and each $x\in X$, there exists a $j_{n,x}\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ with $\|T_{j_{n,x}}^k x\| = \|x\|$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\le n$.

Proof of the claim. Note first, since we have by definition $\max_{j=1,\dots,d}\|T_j x\| = \|x\|$, for all $x\in X$, that $\|T_j\|\le 1$ for all $j\in\{1,\dots,d\}$. Clearly then also $\|T^\alpha\|\le 1$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$. Further, by Corollary 5.7, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=\ell}\|T^\alpha x\| = \|x\|$, for all $x\in X$ and all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$.
Therefore, for each $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and each $x\in X$, there exists an $\alpha(\ell,x)$ with $|\alpha(\ell,x)| = \ell$ and $\|T^{\alpha(\ell,x)}x\| = \|x\|$. Now choose $j_{\max}\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ such that $\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}} := \max_{j=1,\dots,d}\alpha(\ell,x)_j$. Then $\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}\ge\frac{\ell}{d}$. Now fix $x\in X$, choose an arbitrary $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and set $\ell = nd$.
Then $\|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}}(T'_{j_{\max}})^{\alpha(\ell,x)'_{j_{\max}}}x\| = \|x\|$ and $\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}\ge n$. Hence,

$$\|x\| = \|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}}(T'_{j_{\max}})^{\alpha(\ell,x)'_{j_{\max}}}x\| \le \|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}}x\| \le \|x\|,$$

since $\|T_j\|\le 1$ for all $j\in\{1,\dots,d\}$. So clearly $\|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}}x\| = \|x\|$ where $\alpha(\ell,x)_{j_{\max}}\ge n$.
That $\|T_{j_{\max}}^k x\| = \|x\|$ for all $k\le n$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, follows again from the fact that $\|T_j\|\le 1$ for all $j\in\{1,\dots,d\}$.
Since $\ell = nd$ and d is fixed, $j_{\max}$ depends only on n and x. So we can denote $j_{\max} = j_{n,x}$ and the claim is proved.

The rest of the proof is essentially the pigeon hole principle: We have infinitely many $n\in\mathbb{N}$, but only finitely many $j\in\{1,\dots,d\}$.
Fix $x\in X$ and define for each $j\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ the set $A_j := \{n\in\mathbb{N} \mid \|T_j^k x\| = \|x\|, \text{for all } k\le n, k\in\mathbb{N}\}$. By the above, every natural number resides in at least one of the A_j. Thus, at least one A_{j_x} is infinite, which forces $A_{j_x}=\mathbb{N}$ as required. ☐

---

[4] Note that we make use of the continuity of the operators in the proof.

Therefore, if T is an ℓ_∞-isometry, we can split up our space X into closed, but not necessarily disjoint, subsets $X_j := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| = \|T_j^n x\|,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
In the uni-variate case, an (m,∞)-isometry T is an isometry under an equivalent norm, given by $\max_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|T^k x\|$. Indeed, an analogous result holds in the tuple case.

Theorem 5.11. *Let $T \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,∞)-isometric tuple. Then there exists a norm $|.|_\infty$ on X equivalent to $\|.\|$, under which T is an ℓ_∞-spherical isometry. $|.|_\infty$ is given by $|x|_\infty = \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} \|T^\alpha x\|$, for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.7, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} \|T^\alpha x\|$, for all $x \in X$. Since T_j is linear for each $j = 1,\dots,d$ and the maximum preserves the triangle inequality, $|.|_\infty$ is indeed a norm on X. Further, by Corollary 5.7

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{j=1,\dots,d} \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha T_j x\| &= \max_{j=1,\dots,d} \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} \|T^\alpha T_j x\| \\ &= \max_{|\alpha|=1,\dots,m} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall x \in X,\end{aligned}$$

so that T is an ℓ_∞-spherical isometry with respect to $|.|_\infty$. Finally, we have

$$\|x\| \le \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} \|T^\alpha x\| \le \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m-1} \|T^\alpha\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and the two norms are equivalent. □

This, of course, implies immediately, that we can write $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^d X_{j,|.|_\infty}$, where $X_{j,|.|} := \{x \in X \mid |x|_\infty = |T_j^n x|_\infty,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

6 Spectral Properties

Let in this section, X be a complex Banach space, unless stated otherwise. For a tuple of operators $T = (T_1,\dots,T_d)$ on a complex Banach space, several different definitions of spectra and spectral radii exist. A first definition of the *joint spectral radius* was given by Rota and Strang in [21]. Their definition, in the case of commuting operators, reads as follows.

$$\hat{r}(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{|\alpha|=k} \|T^\alpha\|^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

(Note that no definition of a joint spectrum is necessary for this expression to make sense.) In [7], Berger and Wang give an alternative definition, which we cite here again only in the case of commuting operators:

$$r_*(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{|\alpha|=k} r(T^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where $r(T^\alpha) := r(T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_d^{\alpha_d})$ is the usual spectral radius for operators. However, in [24, Lemma page 94], Soltysiak shows that in the case of a commuting d-tuple T, we have $\hat{r}(T) = r_*(T)$.

We further have the *geometric joint spectral radius*, $r(T)$, defined as

$$r(T) := \max\{\|\lambda\|_2 \mid \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

Here $\sigma(T)$ denotes the *Taylor spectrum* (see [25]). Other kind of joint spectra include the *Harte spectrum* $\sigma_H(T)$ (see [14]) and the *joint (left) approximate point spectrum*[5]

$$\sigma_\pi(T) := \{(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \exists\ (x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X \text{ with } \|x_k\| = 1, \text{ s.th. } \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \|(T_j - \lambda_j I)x_k\| = 0\}.$$

All three spectra are non-void if the operators $T_1, ..., T_d$ commute[6] (the Taylor spectrum is actually only defined for commuting operator tuples). For the joint approximate point spectrum this has been shown in [23, Theorem 1.11].[7]
Further, for commuting operator tuples, it was shown in [11] that the convex hull of all the named spectra above coincide. Thus, in this case, the geometric joint spectral radius does not depend on the choice of the joint spectrum. That is, one then can replace in its definition the Taylor spectrum by the Harte spectrum or the joint approximate point spectrum.
If T is a tuple of commuting operators on a complex Hilbert space, the *algebraic joint spectral radius* can be defined as

$$r_{\mathrm{alg}}(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} T^{*\alpha} T^\alpha \right\|^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

However, in [18], it was proved that $r(T) = r_{\mathrm{alg}}(T)$, i.e. algebraic and geometric joint spectral radius coincide.
Soltysiak generalizes the notion of the geometric joint spectral radius in [24] in the following way: Define for $p \in [1,\infty]$ the *(geometric) joint ℓ_p-spectral radius* $r_p(T)$ by

$$r_p(T) := \max\{\|\lambda\|_p \mid \lambda \in \sigma_H(T)\}, \quad \text{if } \sigma_H(T) \neq \emptyset.$$

(If $\sigma_H(T)$ should be empty, one sets formally $r_p(T) = -\infty$.) Again, since we only consider commuting operator tuples, the ℓ_p-spectral radius does not depend on the chosen spectrum (and is non-negative).
Obviously, we have $r_2(T) = r(T)$. Further, Soltysiak shows in [24, Theorem 2] that for a commuting operator tuple T, $r_\infty(T) = \hat{r}(T)$ $(= r_*(T))$. Thus, in this case, the ℓ_p-spectral radii contain all variations of joint spectral radii named so far. Finally, Müller proves in [17, Theorem 3] the corresponding equalities for finite p (again for commuting tuples):

$$r_p(T) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} r(T^\alpha)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}, \quad p \in [1,\infty).$$

Now, Gleason and Richter prove in [13, Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2] that the geometric spectral radius $r(T) = r_2(T)$ of an $(m,2)$-isometric tuple on a complex

---

[5] Harte refers to this set in [14] as *left approximate point spectrum.*

[6] Harte gives with [14, Example 1.6] an example of a non-commuting operator pair with empty Harte spectrum.

[7] The definition of $\sigma_\pi(T)$ given in [23] actually requires the existence of a net instead of a sequence, however, the proof uses a result given in [26], which is stated in terms of sequences.

Hilbert space is equal to 1. They deliver two alternative proofs for this, which can be easily modified to suit the case of (m,p)-isometric and (m,∞)-isometric tuples on complex Banach spaces.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a normed space.*

(i) If $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometry, then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = 1.$$

(ii) If $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometry, then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\max_{|\alpha|=k}\|T^\alpha\|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 1.$$

Consequently, if $p \in [1,\infty]$, X is a complex Banach space and $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometry, the geometric joint ℓ_p-spectral radius $r_p(T)$ of T is 1.

Proof. (i): We have (compare [17, proof of Theorem 4])

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\max_{|\alpha|=k}\left(\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}$$
$$= \lim_{k\to\infty}\max_{|\alpha|=k}\sup_{\|x\|=1}\left(\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{\|x\|=1}\max_{|\alpha|=k}\left(\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}$$
$$= \lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{\|x\|=1}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\frac{k!}{\alpha!}\|T^\alpha x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{\|x\|=1}\left(Q^{k,p}(T,x)\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}.$$

The remaining parts of the proof are almost identical to the proof of [13, Proposition 3.1]. By Proposition 3.4.(ii),

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1}\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{Q^{k,p}(T,x)}{k^{m-1}} = \sup_{\|x\|=1}\frac{1}{(m-1)!}P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,x) \geq 0.$$

Assuming that m is the smallest natural number, for which T is (m,p)-isometric, prompts that the inequality on the right is strict. Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\sup_{\|x\|=1}\frac{1}{(m-1)!}P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,x)\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = 1$. Gleason and Richter show in the proof of [13, Proposition 3.1] that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(k^{m-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = 1$, hence, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(k^{m-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = 1$, and then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{\|x\|=1}\left(Q^{k,p}(T,x)\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}$$
$$= \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{\sup_{\|x\|=1}(Q^{k,p}(T,x)}{k^{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\sup_{\|x\|=1}\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{Q^{k,p}(T,x)}{k^{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}$$
$$= \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\sup_{\|x\|=1}\frac{1}{(m-1)!}P^{(p)}_{m-1}(T,x)\right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} = 1.$$

(ii): This follows from the fact that $(\|T^\alpha\|)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is bounded by Corollary 5.8. ☐

Remark 6.2. The proofs for both parts of Proposition 6.1 work also for the case $d = 1$. In this case, both statements just read $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k\|^{1/k} = 1$. Thus, we have a proof for the fact that the spectral radii of an (m,p)-isometric operator and an (m,∞)-isometric operator are 1, without referring to the approximate point spectrum.

In [13, Lemma 3.2] it is shown that, if T is an $(m,2)$-isometry on a complex Hilbert space, then $\|\lambda\|_2 = 1$, for all $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$. That is, its joint approximate point spectrum lies in the boundary of the d-dimensional unit sphere and, therefore, one gets again that the geometric joint spectral radius of an $(m,2)$-isometry is equal to 1. To obtain this result, Gleason and Richter show (see [13, page 187]) that $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$ if, and only if, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$, with $\|x_n\| = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $(T^\alpha - \lambda^\alpha)x_n \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Using this fact, we obtain a generalisation of [13, Lemma 3.2]:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$ and X be a complex Banach space. Then the joint approximate point spectrum $\sigma_\pi(T)$ of an (m,p)-isometric tuple $T \in B(X)^d$ is a subset of the d-dimensional complex unit sphere with respect to the p-norm.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$.
If $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,p)-isometric tuple, then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$, with $\|x_n\| = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x_n\|^p \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} |\lambda^\alpha|^p = (1 - \|\lambda\|_p^p)^m. \end{aligned}$$

$\|\lambda\|_p = 1$, for all $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$, follows immediately.

If $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m,∞)-isometric tuple, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$, with $\|x_n\| = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x_n\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} |\lambda^\alpha| \\ \text{and} \quad &\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x_n\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} |\lambda^\alpha|. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is an (m,∞)-isometry and by uniqueness of limits, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} |\lambda^\alpha| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} |\lambda^\alpha| \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad &\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|\lambda\|_\infty^{|\alpha|} = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|\lambda\|_\infty^{|\alpha|}, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, unless $\|\lambda\|_\infty = 1$. ☐

7 (m,p)- and (m,∞)-isometric tuples

It is known (see [15, Proposition 6.1]) and easy to see, that an (m,p)-isometric operator is simultaneously an (m,∞)-isometric operator if, and only if, it is an isometry.

An analogous of this statement cannot be obtained by replacing the term 'isometry' by 'ℓ_p-spherical isometry' or 'ℓ_∞-spherical isometry' for the multi-variate case.

Example 7.1. Let $T_1 \in B(X)$ be an isometric operator and $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ an (m,p)-isometry. By Proposition 4.6, T is an (m,p)-isometry for every $p \in (0,\infty)$ and, hence, by definition an (m,∞)-isometry. However, in general T does not need to be an ℓ_p-spherical or an ℓ_∞-spherical isometry. (Take, for example, Example 4.7.)

It is currently not known what the intersection of the set of all (m,p)-isometric and all (m,∞)-isometric tuples on a given normed space X actually is. However, looking at the joint approximate point spectrum (in the complex Banach space case), already gives some information.

Remark 7.2. Let X be a complex Banach space and $p \in [1,\infty)$. Let further $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric and a (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. Then every $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$ satisfies $\|\lambda\|_p = \|\lambda\|_\infty = 1$ by Proposition 6.3. Consequently, since $\sigma_\pi(T) \subset \sigma_\pi(T_1) \times \cdots \times \sigma_\pi(T_d)$, one operator T_{j_0} has spectral radius $r(T_{j_0}) \geq 1$ and the remaining operators T_i are not bounded below (in particular non-invertible), $i \neq j_0$, $i, j_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$.

More specific results can so far only be given in special cases. (However, without imposing completeness!)
The case where our tuple is constructed by using an (m,∞)-isometric operator (i.e. by applying Proposition 5.3) is easy and we consider it first.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (μ,∞)-isometric tuple of the form of Proposition 5.3. That is, $T_j = z_j S$, where $S \in B(X)$ is an (μ,∞)-isometric operator and $z := (z_1, ..., z_d) \in \mathbb{K}^d$ with $\|z\|_\infty = 1$. Let further T also be an (m,p)-isometric tuple. Then S is an isometry and therefore some $T_{j_0} = z_{j_0} S$ is also an isometry.*

Proof. Since T is an (m,p)-isometry, we have for all $x \in X$,

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|z^\alpha S^{|\alpha|} x\|^p = \|z\|_p^{np} \|S^n x\|^p,$$

by the multinomial theorem. Then $D^m \left(Q^n(x)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = 0$ implies that $\|z\|_p S$ is an (m,p)-isometric operator. It is well known that the approximate point spectrum of an (m,p)-isometric operator on a complex Banach space lies in the unit circle (see for example [6, Proposition 2.3]) and therefore its spectral radius is 1. However, since we don't want to exclude the case that X is a real space and we also don't impose completeness, we refer to Remark 6.2, which yields $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| \left(\|z\|_p S \right)^n \right\|^{1/n} = \|z\|_p \lim_{n\to\infty} \|S^n\|^{1/n} = 1$.
Since S is an (μ,∞)-isometric operator on X, Remark 6.2 further gives, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|S^n\|^{1/n} = 1$. This forces $\|z\|_p = 1$. In particular, S has to be an (m,p)-isometric operator, which forces S (by [15, Proposition 6.1]) to be an isometry under $\|.\|$. ☐

To prove further results, we first state a series of lemmata.[8]

---

[8] It is actually easy to find elementary proofs for Corollay 7.8 and Proposition 7.9 below, however, it is more elegant to deduce these statements from Proposition 7.7.

Lemma 7.4. *Let* $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ *be an* ℓ_∞*-spherical isometry. Define, as above,* $X_j := \{x\in X \mid \|x\| = \|T_j^n x\|,\ \forall n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ *for* $j\in\{1,...,d\}$*.*
If there exists an $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that, for all* $j\in\{1,...,d\}$*,* $X_j\subset N(T_i^{n_0})$*, for all* $i\neq j$*,* $i\in\{1,...,d\}$*, then one of the operators* $T_1,...,T_d$ *is an isometry.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.10, $X=\bigcup_{j=1,\ldots,d}X_j$. Since by assumption, $X_j\subset N(T_i^{n_0})$ for all $i\in\{1,...,d\}$ with $i\neq j$, we have

$$X=\bigcup_{j=1,\ldots,d}X_j\subset\bigcup_{j=1,\ldots,d}\bigcap_{\substack{i=1,\ldots,d\\ i\neq j}}N(T_i^{n_0}).$$

This forces $\bigcap_{\substack{i=1,\ldots,d\\ i\neq j_0}}N(T_i^{n_0})=X$ for some $j_0\in\{1,...,d\}$. I.e., $N(T_i^{n_0})=X$ for all $i\neq j_0$, $i\in\{1,...,d\}$, which forces $X_i=\{0\}$ for all $i\neq j_0$, $i\in\{1,...,d\}$. Then we must have $X_{j_0}=X$ and T_{j_0} is an isometry. ☐

Lemma 7.5. *Let* $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ *be an* (m,p)*-isometric tuple and also a* (μ,∞)*-isometric tuple. Then for all* $\gamma:=(\gamma_1,...,\gamma_d)\in\mathbb{N}^d$ *with the property* $|\gamma_j'|\geq m$ *for every* $j\in\{1,...,d\}$*, we have* $T^\gamma=0$*. In particular,* $T_i^mT_j^m=0$ *for every* $i\neq j$*,* $i,j\in\{1,...,d\}$*.*

Proof. Lets first consider the case $\mu=1$. If T is a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple, by Corollary 5.7,

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|=\|x\|=\max_{|\alpha|=\ell}\|T^\alpha x\|,\ \forall\ell\in\mathbb{N},\ \forall x\in X.$$

So $\left(\max_{|\alpha|=\ell}\|T^\alpha x\|\right)_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a constant sequence for all $x\in X$. In particular, $\left(\max_{|\alpha|=\ell}\|T^\alpha T^\gamma x\|\right)_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ is constant for any multi-index $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^d$, for all $x\in X$.
Since T is an (m,p)-isometric tuple, for any $x\in X$, any $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta|\geq m$ and any $j\in\{1,...,d\}$, by Corollary 3.5.(ii), $T_j^n(T_j')^\beta x\to 0$ for $n\to\infty$. We will show that this implies, given a $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^d$ with the property $|\gamma_j'|\geq m$ for every $j\in\{1,...,d\}$, that $\|T^\alpha T^\gamma x\|\to 0$ as $|\alpha|\to\infty$, for all $x\in X$.
So take a $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^d$ with the property $|\gamma_j'|\geq m$ for every $j\in\{1,...,d\}$. Then for any $x\in X$, any $j\in\{1,...,d\}$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $N_\varepsilon(x,j)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T_j^n(T_j')^{\gamma_j'}x\|\leq\varepsilon$, for all $n\geq N_\varepsilon(x,j)$, by Corollary 3.5.(ii).
But since we have only finitely many j, by simply taking the maximum $N_\varepsilon(x)$ of all $N_\varepsilon(x,j)$, we get that for any $x\in X$, for all $\varepsilon>0$, $\|T_j^n(T_j')^{\gamma_j'}x\|\leq\varepsilon$, for all $j\in\{1,...,d\}$, for all $n\geq N_\varepsilon(x)$.
For all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha|=\ell$, we have $\alpha_{j_{\max}}:=\max_{j=1,\ldots,d}\alpha_j\geq\frac{\ell}{d}$, for all $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$. (Of course, the $j_{\max}$ is not uniquely determined.) But then, for any chosen $x\in X$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $M_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that
$\|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}+\gamma_{j_{\max}}}(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\gamma_{j_{\max}}'}x\|\leq\varepsilon$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha|=\ell$, for all $\ell\geq M_\varepsilon(x)$. (Of course, the index $j_{\max}$ may be different [and not uniquely determined] for every α.) Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\alpha T^\gamma x\|&=\|(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}'}T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}+\gamma_{j_{\max}}}(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\gamma_{j_{\max}}'}x\|\\&\leq\|(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}'}\|\cdot\|T_{j_{\max}}^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}+\gamma_{j_{\max}}}(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\gamma_{j_{\max}}'}x\|\\&\leq\|(T_{j_{\max}}')^{\alpha_{j_{\max}}'}\|\cdot\varepsilon,\quad\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d\ \text{ with }\ |\alpha|=\ell,\ \forall\ \ell\geq M_\varepsilon(x).\end{aligned}$$

Now, since T is a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple, $\|T_j\| \le 1$, for all $j \in \{1,...,d\}$. Thus, $\|T^\alpha T^\gamma x\| \le \varepsilon$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| = \ell$, for all $\ell \ge M_\varepsilon(x)$.
Then

$$\|T^\gamma x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \|T^\alpha T^\gamma x\| \to 0, \text{ as } \ell \to \infty.$$

Since x was chosen arbitrarily, $T^\gamma = 0$ follows.
Now consider the case $\mu > 1$ and let T be a (μ,∞)-isometry. By Theorem 5.11, T is a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple with respect to the norm $|.|_\infty$ on X, where $|.|_\infty$ is equivalent to $\|.\|$. Hence, for any $x \in X$, any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta| \ge m$ and any $j \in \{1,...,d\}$, $T_j^n (T_j')^\beta x$ converges to 0 for $n \to \infty$ under $|.|_\infty$. By repeating the argument from above, we then get that

$$|T^\gamma x|_\infty = \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} |T^\alpha T^\gamma x|_\infty \to 0, \text{ as } \ell \to \infty.$$

Again, $T^\gamma = 0$ follows. ☐

Corollary 7.6. *Let $T = (T_1,...,T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric tuple and also a (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. If $T^\alpha \neq 0$ with $|\alpha| = n$, then α is a permutation of $(n - |\beta|, \beta_1, ..., \beta_{d-1})$, where $|\beta| \le m-1$. I.e., $T^\alpha = T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta$ for some $j \in \{1,...,d\}$ and some $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\beta| \le m-1$.*

Proof. Let $|\alpha| = n$ and chose a $j \in \{1,...,d\}$ with $\alpha_j \neq 0$. Then we can write $T^\alpha = T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$. We have to show that, if $T^\alpha \neq 0$, then $|\beta| \le m-1$, or we can reorder and write $T^\alpha = T_k^{n-|\tilde\beta|}(T_k')^{\tilde\beta}$ for some $k \in \{1,...,d\}$ and some $\tilde\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\tilde\beta| \le m-1$.
Since $n - |\beta| \ge 1$, the statement holds trivially if $n \le m$. So assume $n \ge m+1$. Certainly, by Lemma 7.5, if $n - |\beta| \ge m$ and $|\beta| \ge m$, $T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta = 0$. This means, if $T^\alpha \neq 0$, we have we must have $|\beta| \le m-1$ or $|\beta| \ge n-m+1$.
Since we want to show that only the case $|\beta| \le m-1$ can occur (maybe w.r.t. to some reordering), assume $|\beta| \ge m$ and $|\beta| \ge n-m+1$.
Now, if the biggest entry of β, $\beta_{j_{\max}} := \max_{j=1,...,d-1} \beta_j$ (where again, the index $j_{\max}$ is not necessarily unique) satisfies $\beta_{j_{\max}} \ge n-m+1$, then we have $-\beta_{j_{\max}} + n = |\beta'_{j_{\max}}| + n - |\beta| \le m-1$ and we let $\tilde\beta$ be a multi-index consisting of the entries of $\beta'_{j_{\max}}$ and the entry $n - |\beta|$ w.r.t. some permutation. Then $T^\alpha = T_{j_{\max}}^{\beta_{j_{\max}}} \left(T'_{j_{\max}}\right)^{\tilde\beta} = T_{j_{\max}}^{n-|\tilde\beta|} \left(T'_{j_{\max}}\right)^{\tilde\beta}$ with $|\tilde\beta| \le m-1$.
If $\beta_{j_{\max}} \le n-m$, then $|\beta_i'| + n - |\beta| \ge m$ for any entry β_i of β. Since, by assumption $|\beta| \ge m$, this means $T^\alpha = T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^\beta = 0$, by Lemma 7.5. ☐

We are now able to determine the intersection class of (m,p)- and (μ,∞)-isometric tuples in the case $\mu = 1$:

Proposition 7.7. *Let $T = (T_1,...,T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric tuple and also a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple. Then one of the operators $T_1,...,T_d$ is an isometry.*

Proof. Since T is an (m,p)-isometric tuple, by Proposition 3.4.(i),

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} n^{(k)} \left(\frac{1}{k!} P_k(x)\right), \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.1}$$

Where $n^{(k)} = \binom{n}{k}k! = n(n-1)...(n-k+1)$. That is, for all $x \in X$, $(n, Q_n(x))$ is interpolated by a polynomial of degree of less or equal to $m-1$.
Now, by Corollary 7.6 above, for $n \geq 2m-1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q^n(x)$ reduces to

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{d-1}\\ |\beta|=0,\ldots,m-1}} \sum_{j=1,\ldots,d} \frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)!\beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Here $\frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)!\beta!} = \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!}$. (We set $n \geq 2m-1$, so that we don't get any multi-indices twice in this expression.)
Further, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1,...,m-1\}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$, $j \in \{1,...,d\}$, by Proposition 5.10, there exists an $\ell_j \in \{1,...,d\}$, such that

$$\|T_{\ell_j}^{\nu}T_j^{n-k}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p = \|T_j^{n-k}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p, \quad \forall\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Corollary 7.6, for $n \geq 2m-1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we must have $\ell_j = j$, i.e.

$$\|T_j^{\nu+n-k}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p = \|T_j^{n-k}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p, \quad \forall\ n,\nu \in \mathbb{N},\ n \geq 2m-1.$$

But that means that the sequence $\left(\|T_j^{n-k}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ becomes constant for $n \geq 2m-1$.
Therefore, for all $x \in X$, for $n \geq 2m-1$, $(n, Q^n(x))$ is interpolated by the polynomial

$$n \mapsto \sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^{d-1}\\ |\beta|=0,\ldots,m-1}} \sum_{j=1,\ldots,d} \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!} \|T_j^{2m-1-|\beta|}(T_j')^{\beta}x\|^p,$$

which is of degree less or equal to $m-1$.
However, this polynomial must be the same as the one in (7.1). In particular, their coefficients have to be equal and, more particularly, we must have $\sum_{j=1,...,d} \|T_j^{2m-1}x\|^p = \|x\|^p$, for all $x \in X$.
Take now $j_0 \in \{1,...,d\}$ and $x_{j_0} \in X_{j_0}$, for X_{j_0} defined as before. Then $\sum_{\substack{j=1,...,d\\ j\neq j_0}} \|T_j^{2m-1}x_0\|^p = 0$ and, thus, $x_{j_0} \in N(T_j^{2m-1})$ for all $j \neq j_0$, $j \in \{1,...,d\}$.
Since $x_{j_0} \in X_{j_0}$ and $j_0 \in \{1,...,d\}$ were chosen arbitrarily, it follows from Lemma 7.4 that one of the operators $T_1,...,T_d$ is an isometry. □

The case $m = 1$ now follows easily.

Corollary 7.8. *Let $T = (T_1,...,T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be a $(1,p)$-isometric tuple and also a (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. Then one of the operator $T_1,...,T_d$ is an isometry.*

Proof. Since T is a $(1,p)$-isometric tuple, Proposition 3.4.(i) gives, $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!}\|T^{\alpha}x\|^p = \|x\|^p$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in X$. Consequently, $\|x\| \geq \|T^{\alpha}x\|$, for any multi index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for all $x \in X$. I.e.

$$\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|T^{\alpha}x\| = \|x\|, \ \forall x \in X.$$

Then, since T is a (μ,∞)-isometric tuple, by Theorem 5.11, T is already a $(1,\infty)$-isometric tuple and the result follows from the preceding statement. □

We can now prove the case where our tuple is constructed, using an (m,p)-isometric operator (i.e., by applying Proposition 4.4).

Proposition 7.9. *Let $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric tuple of the form of Proposition 4.4. That is, $T_j=z_jS$, where $S\in B(X)$ is an (m,p)-isometric operator and $z:=(z_1,...,z_d)\in\mathbb{K}^d$ with $\|z\|_p=1$. Let further T also be an (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. Then S and one $T_{j_0}=z_{j_0}S$ are an isometry.*

Proof. Since T is an (μ,∞)-isometry, for all $x\in X$, the family $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}=\big(|z^\alpha|\|S^{|\alpha|}x\|\big)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is bounded. Since $\|z\|_p=1$ by assumption, this forces $(\|S^nx\|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be bounded for every $x\in X$. Now, since S is an (m,p)-isometric operator, by [15, Proposition 2.1 or Proposition 3.2], S is an isometry. Hence, by Proposition 4.4, T is a $(1,p)$-isometric tuple. Then Corollary 7.8 forces one operator $z_{j_0}S$ to be an isometry. $\square$

More general results appear difficult to obtain at the moment. We will present in the following a proof for an analogous statement in the case $m=\mu=2$.

The following lemma simply states that one cannot increase a maximum.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be a (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. For each $x\in X$ and each $\tilde{\alpha}(x)\in\mathbb{N}^d$ with $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|=\|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|$, we have $\|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|=|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x|_\infty$.*

Proof. Fix $x\in X$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}(n)\in\mathbb{N}^d$, such that $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|=\|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|$. We have

$$\|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|\le|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x|_\infty=\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|\le\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\|=\|T^{\tilde{\alpha}(x)}x\|.$$

$\square$

Proposition 7.11. *Let $T=(T_1,...,T_d)\in B(X)^d$ be a $(2,p)$-isometric tuple and a $(2,\infty)$-isometric tuple. Then one of the operators $T_1,...,T_d$ is an isometry.*

Proof. We proceed in three steps:

(i) We first show that $T^n:=(T_1^n,...,T_d^n)$ is an ℓ_p-spherical isometry, for all $n\ge4$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Secondly, we show that this actually implies that one of the operators $T_1^{4+d-1},...,T_d^{4+d-1}$ is an isometry.

(iii) Thirdly, we show that this forces one of the operators $T_1,...,T_d$ to be an isometry.

(i): Since T is a $(2,p)$-isometric tuple, by Proposition 3.4.(i),

$$Q^n(x)=nP_1(x)+\|x\|^p,\quad\forall x\in X,\ \forall n\in\mathbb{N}.\tag{7.2}$$

That is, for all $x\in X$, $(n,Q_n(x))$ is interpolated by a polynomial of degree less or equal to 1.

Now, by Corollary 7.6, for $n \geq 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q^n(x)$ reduces to

$$Q^n(x) = n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d}\|T_i^{n-1}T_jx\|^p\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{d}\|T_j^nx\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{7.3}$$

Since T is a $(2,\infty)$-isometry, for all $x \in X$, for all $i,j \in \{1,...,d\}$, $\max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha T_i^2T_jx\| = \max_{|\alpha|=1}\|T^\alpha T_i^2T_jx\|$, by Corollary 5.7. If $i \neq j$, by Corollary 7.6, we deduce that $\max_{|\alpha|=1}\|T^\alpha T_i^2T_jx\| = \|T_i^3T_jx\|$, for all $x \in X$. However, then Lemma 7.10 gives that $\|T_i^3T_jx\| = |T_i^3T_jx|_\infty$, for all $x \in X$. But then, for all $x \in X$,

$$\|T_i^3T_jx\| = |T_i^3T_jx|_\infty = \max_{|\alpha|=1}\|T^\alpha T_i^3T_jx\| = \|T_i^4T_jx\| = |T_i^4T_jx|_\infty,$$

for all $i \neq j$, $i,j \in \{1,...,d\}$.
By repeating this process ad infinitum, we get that for all $i \neq j$, $i,j \in \{1,...,d\}$,

$$\|T_i^3T_jx\| = \|T_i^4T_jx\| = |T_i^4T_jx|_\infty = \|T_i^5T_jx\| = |T_i^5T_jx|_\infty = ... = \|T_i^nT_jx\|,$$

for all $n \geq 3$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $x \in X$.
Therefore, the sequences $\left(\|T_i^{k-1}T_jx\|\right)_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{N}\\ k\geq 4}}$ are constant, for all $i \neq j$, $i,j \in \{1,...,d\}$, for all $x \in X$.
By equating (7.2) and (7.3), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|T_j^nx\|^p = n\left(P_1(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d}\|T_i^{n-1}T_jx\|^p\right) + \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The left hand side is non-negative and bounded, for all $x \in X$, thus, so has to be the right hand side. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d}\|T_i^{n-1}T_jx\|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d}\|T_i^3T_jx\|^p$ is constant for $n \geq 4$, this forces $P_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d}\|T_i^3T_jx\|^p$, for all $x \in X$.
Therefore, for $n \geq 4$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|T_j^nx\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(ii): By (i) we have $\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|T_j^4x\|^p = \|x\|^p$, for all $x \in X$. Thus, $\|x\| \geq \max_{j=1,\dots,d}\|T_j^4x\|$, for all $x \in X$.

(ii.a) If $\|x\| = \|T_j^4x\|$, for some $j \in \{1,...,d\}$ then obviously $\|T_i^4x\| = 0$ and $x \in N(T_i^4)$ for all $i \neq j$, $i \in \{1,...,d\}$.

(ii.b) Assume $\|x\| > \max_{j=1,\dots,d}\|T_j^4x\|$.
Since $|x|_\infty \geq \|x\|$ and $|x|_\infty = \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=4}\|T^\alpha x\|$, it follows that

$$|x|_\infty = \max_{\substack{i=1,\dots,d\\ j=1,\dots,d}}\|T_i^3T_jx\|.$$

(Since further remaining multi-indices β with $|\beta| = 4$ give $T^\beta = 0$, by Corollary 7.6.)

Let $|x|_\infty = \|T_{i_0}^3 T_{j_0} x\|$ for some $i_0 \neq j_0$, $i_0, j_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$. Then $|x|_\infty = \|T_{i_0}^n T_{j_0} x\|$, for all $n \geq 3$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (as we have seen above, the sequences $\big(\|T_i^{k-1} T_j x\|\big)_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{N}\\ k\geq 4}}$ are constant). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{j_0}x\|^p &= \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,d\\ j\neq i_0,j_0}} \|T_j^4 T_{j_0} x\|^p + \|T_{i_0}^4 T_{j_0} x\|^p + \|T_{j_0}^5 x\|^p \\ \Leftrightarrow\ \|T_{j_0}x\|^p &= \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,d\\ j\neq i_0,j_0}} \|T_j^4 T_{j_0} x\|^p + |x|_\infty^p + \|T_{j_0}^5 x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x|_\infty \geq \|T^\alpha x\|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ simply by definition, we certainly have $x \in N(T_{j_0}^5)$.

We conclude that in any case, $x \in N(T_j^5)$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$.

In other words, $X = \bigcup_{j=1,...,d} N(T_j^5)$. Hence, $N(T_{j_0}^5) = X$, i.e. $T_{j_0}^5 = 0$ for one $j_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$. Without loss of generality assume $T_d^5 = 0$. Then we actually have, for $n \geq 5$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \|T_j^n x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We can repeat our argument (now considering $|x|_\infty = \max_{|\alpha|=5} \|T^\alpha x\|$) to get that $T_{i_0}^6 = 0$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$. We can then repeat this process again, until we eventually have that $T_k^{4+d-1} = 0$ for all, but one k_0, and $T_{k_0}^{4+d-1}$ is an isometry, for $k, k_0 \in \{1, ..., d\}$, $k \neq k_0$.

(iii): Without loss of generality, assume T_1^{4+d-1} is an isometry and $T_i^{4+d-1} = 0$, for all $i \in \{2, ..., d\}$. Then, for $n \geq 4 + d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $x \in X$,

$$P_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d} \|T_i^3 T_j x\|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d} \|T_i^{n-1} T_j x\|^p = \sum_{j=2}^{d} \|T_j x\|^p.$$

Now $P_1(x) = -\|x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j x\|^p$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore,

$$-\|x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \|T_j x\|^p = \sum_{j=2}^{d} \|T_j x\|^p \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\|x\|^p + \|T_1 x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and T_1 is an isometry. □

These results lead to the following, obvious conjecture:

Conjecture. Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m,p)-isometric and (μ,∞)-isometric tuple. Then one of the operators $T_1, ..., T_d$ is an isometry.

Acknowledgement

Proposition 4.6 owes its current form and improved proof to valuable remarks provided by Mícheál Ó Searcóid

Corollary 3.5 is the result of a fruitful discussion with Hermann Render, who also proved an early version of Lemma 7.5 for the case $m = \mu = d = 2$.

References

[1] M. Aigner, Diskrete Mathematik, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden 1993.

[2] J. Agler, A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators, Am. J. Math. 112(1) (1990), 1-14.

[3] J. Agler and M. Stankus, m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 21, No. 4 (1995), 383-429.

[4] J. Agler and M. Stankus, m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, II, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 23, No. 1 (1995), 1-48.

[5] J. Agler and M. Stankus, m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, III, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 24, No. 4 (1996), 379-421.

[6] F. Bayart, m-Isometries on Banach Spaces, Mathematische Nachrichten, Volume 284, No. 17-18 (2011), 2141-2147.

[7] M. A. Berger and Y. Wang, Bounded semigroups of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 166 (1992), 21-27.

[8] T. Bermúdez, I. Marrero and A. Martinón, On the Orbit of an m-Isometry, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 64, No. 4 (2009), 487-494.

[9] T. Bermúdez, A. Martinón and E. Negrín, Weighted Shift Operators Which are m-Isometries, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 68, No. 3 (2010), 301-312.

[10] F. Botelho, On the existence of n-isometries on ℓ_p spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 76 : 1-2 (2010), 183-192.

[11] M. Cho and W. Zelazko, On geometric spectral radius of commuting n-tuples of operators, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 21 (2) (1992), 251-258.

[12] M. Faghih Ahmadi and K. Hedayatian, Hypercyclicity and Supercyclicity of m-Isometric Operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, Volume 42, Number 1 (2012), 15-23.

[13] J. Gleason and S. Richter, m-Isometric Commuting Tuples of Operators on a Hilbert Space, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 56, No. 2 (2006), 181-196 .

[14] R.E. Harte, Spectral mapping theorems, Poc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 72 (1972), 89-107.

[15] P. Hoffmann, M. Mackey and M. Ó Searcóid, On the second parameter of an (m,p)-isometry, Integr. equ. oper. theory, Vol. 71, No. 3 (2011), 389-405.

[16] C.-K. Li and W. So, Isometries of ℓ_p-norm, Amer. Math. Monthly 101 (1994), 452-453.

[17] V. Müller, On the joint spectral radius, Annales Polonici Mathematici 66 (1997), 173-182.

[18] V. Müller and A. Soltysiak, Spectral radius formula for commuting Hilbert space operators, Studia Math. 103 (3) (1992), 329-333.

[19] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift, *J. reine angew. Math.* 386 (1988), 205-220.

[20] S. Richter, A model for two-isometric operator tuples with finite defect, talk at the AMS Sectional meeting, Cornell University, September 2011, *http: //www.math.utk.edu/∼richter/talk/Cornell_Sept_2011.*

[21] G.-C. Rota and W. G. Strang, A note on the joint spectral radius, Indag. Math. 22 (1960), 379-381.

[22] O.A. Sid Ahmed, m-isometric Operators on Banach Spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 3, No. 1 (2010), 1-19.

[23] Z. Slodkowski and W. Zelazko, On joint spectra of commuting families of operators, Studia Math. 50 (1974), 127-148.

[24] A. Soltysiak, On the joint spectral radii of commuting Banach algebra elements, Studia Math. 105 (1) (1993), 93-99.

[25] J.L. Taylor, A Joint Spectrum for Several Commuting Operators, J. Functional Analysis 6 (1970), 172-191.

[26] W. Zelazko, On a problem concerning joint approximate point spectra, Stud. Math. 45 (1973), 239-240.

Philipp Hoffmann and Michael Mackey
University College Dublin
School of Mathematical Sciences
Belfield, Dublin 4
Ireland
email: philipp.hoffmann@ucdconnect.ie, michael.mackey@ucd.ie